2 3 5 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 JEREMY CONKLIN, D.O., an individual; 10 Plaintiff NO. 2:18-cv-00090 VS. 11 OF WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY 12 MEDICINE, a Washington public health COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES MEDICINE/NW, 13 system; UW Washington public benefit corporation; JURY DEMAND 14 UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON MEDICAL CENTER, a Washington public hospital; 15 UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON SCHOOL OF MEDICINE, a Washington public 16 educational institution; PAUL RAMSEY, M.D., in his official capacity and 17 individually; LESTER PERMUT, M.D., in his individually; official capacity and 18 SEATTLE CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL, Washington non-profit corporation; 19 UNIVERSITY CHILDREN'S **MEDICAL** 20 GROUP, a Washington pediatric group; **MARY** CHILDREN'S BRIDGE 21 FOUNDATION, a Washington public benefit corporation; AMERICAN BOARD 22 OF THORACIC SURGERY, INC., an Illinois corporation; **THORACIC** non-profit 23 DIRECTORS ASSOCIATION, SURGERY INC., a North Carolina non-profit 24 and **ACCREDITATION** corporation; COUNCIL FOR GRADUATE MEDICAL 25 EDUCATION, Illinois non-profit an corporation: 26

Defendants

Defendants

Defendants

Dr. Jeremy Conklin, D.O., ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys of record, HKM Employment Attorneys, LLP, requests damages, attorney's fees, and costs for the wrongful conduct of the Defendants as set forth below:

PARTIES

- 1. Jeremy Conklin, D.O. is a resident of the state of Texas. He is an osteopathic physician and 2017 applicant to the University of Washington School of Medicine's ("UWSOM's") congenital cardiothoracic surgery (also known as pediatric cardiac surgery and hereafter referred to as "CCS") fellowship (hereafter, "the fellowship") which is a paid position supported by Graduate Medical Education ("GME") funding from Medicare pursuant to the Social Security Act of 1965 ("SSA").
- 2. Defendant UW Medicine ("UWMed") is a public health system located in Seattle. UWMed owns and operates Defendant University of Washington Medical Center ("UWMC"), a public hospital located in Seattle that provides training to GME residents and fellows, including the fellowship at issue in this case. UWMed also owns and/or operates the following hospitals, clinics, and groups: Harborview Medical Center, Northwest Hospital and Medical Center, Valley Medical Center, UW neighborhood Clinics, UW Physicians, and Airlift Northwest.
- 3. Defendant UW Medicine/Northwest is a Washington public benefit corporation located in Seattle and an affiliated entity of Defendant UWMed.
- 4. Defendant UWSOM is a public medical school with its main campus located in Seattle. UWSOM offers the fellowship that is at issue in this case.
 - 5. Defendant Paul G. Ramsey, M.D. is an individual residing in the state of

2526

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

Washington. Dr. Ramsey is the Chief Executive Officer of UWMed, Executive Vice-President for Medical Affairs and Dean of UWSOM.

- 6. Dr. Ramsey knowingly and willingly participated in the discrimination and knowing and willful violations of RCW 70.41.235.
- 7. Defendant Lester C. Permut, M.D. is an individual residing in the state of Washington. Dr. Permut is the Program Director for the fellowship that is the subject of the claims herein. Dr. Permut is employed by or affiliated with UWSOM, UWMED, UWMC, UW MED/NW, SCH, and MBCF.
- 8. Dr. Permut knowingly and willingly participated in the discrimination and knowing and willful violations of RCW 70.41.235.
- 9. Defendant SCH is a Washington non-profit corporation located in Seattle. UWSOM offers the fellowship that is the subject of the claims herein and for which Plaintiff applied in 2017 at SCH. UWSOM and SCH are affiliated with one another, but are not commonly owned.
- 10. Defendant Children's University Medical Group is a non-profit pediatric group practice established to support the academic, research and clinical missions of its corporate members, UWSOM and SCH.
- 11. Mary Bridge Children's Foundation ("MBCF") is a Washington public benefit corporation located in Tacoma. The fellowship includes training at MBCF. UWSOM and MBCF are affiliated with one another, but are not commonly owned.
- 12. The Defendants named in paragraphs 2-11 of this Complaint are referred to collectively herein as "UW" or "UW Defendants" for no other purpose than ease and efficiency. If referred to individually, each Defendant will be referred to specifically and separately or as "each".
- 13. Defendant American Board of Thoracic Surgery, Inc. ("ABTS") is an Illinois non-profit corporation. ABTS board certifies medical doctors who specialize in thoracic surgery. ABTS operates from a main office in Chicago, Illinois.
- 14. Defendant Thoracic Surgery Directors Association ("TSDA") is a North Carolina non-profit corporation. The TSDA operates the match program utilized by UWSOM and other medical schools and hospitals that are accredited by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education ("ACGME"). The TSDA match requires ABTS board certification in thoracic surgery for fellowships in CCS,

26

including the fellowship. TSDA operates from a main office in Chicago, Illinois, which it shares with its affiliated entity ABTS.

- 15. Defendant ACGME is an Illinois non-profit corporation. The AGCME sets requirements for and accredits the fellowship that is the subject of this lawsuit.
- 16. UWSOM must comply with ACGME accreditation requirements to remain accredited.
- 17. The ACGME accreditation requirements are in conflict with RCW 70.41.235, the Washington statute that is the impetus of this lawsuit. As a result, UW chose to violate Washington law rather than risk UWSOM's accreditation.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- 18. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to federal question jurisdiction as authorized under 28 U.S.C. §1331 for Plaintiff's claims against Defendants for violations of federal anti-trust laws; the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. §1, and Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C §12.
- 19. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction of Plaintiff's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 20. Venue is proper because the fellowship is located in Seattle, Washington and each of the Defendants conducts business in Seattle, Washington.
- 21. Plaintiff provided a Notice of Claim to UW as a prerequisite to filing this lawsuit, which UW rejected. More than 60 days have elapsed since UW received Plaintiff's Notice of Claim.
- 22. Plaintiff also submitted a complaint to the Federal Trade Commission under the Federal Trade Commission Act.

FACTS

- 23. There are two different categories of physicians who may practice medicine in the United States; allopathic physicians, referred to as medical doctors ("MDs"), and osteopathic physicians ("DOs"). Both are fully licensed physicians, trained in diagnosing and treating illnesses and disorders, and in providing preventive care.
- 24. Physicians apply for privileges to practice medicine at hospitals, which require them to be licensed in the state they are practicing and be board certified by a recognized professional organization in the field of medicine they practice.

25

26

25. Physicians in GME training become board certified by completing
residencies and fellowships in their field of medicine. Accredited GME training
programs are funded by Medicare dollars.

- 26. There are two organizations in the United States that accredit GME training; the ACGME, which accredits MD programs, and the American Osteopathic Association ("AOA"), which accredits DO programs.
- 27. UW receives Medicare funding for its GME training and is the largest sponsor of GME in the northwestern United States, including Washington, Wyoming, Alaska, Montana, and Idaho. UW trains approximately 1350 residents and fellows each year. It has 25 residency programs and 76 fellowship programs, and ranks 7th nationally in number of programs and 8th nationally in number of trainees.
- 28. There are twelve (12) ACGME accredited CCS fellowships in the United States that provide GME training in CCS, one of which is the UW fellowship.
- 29. The ACGME has established eligibility criteria for applicants to enter one of the twelve accredited CCS fellowship programs.
- 30. To apply for one of the twelve accredited CCS fellowship programs, including the UW fellowship, an applicant must apply through the TSDA match program.
- 31. The TSDA has established its own eligibility criteria for participation in its match program, which is stricter than the ACGME requirements and includes board eligibility and/or certification by the ABTS.
- 32. The ABTS is the only organization in the United States that provides board certification and access to ACGME accredited CCS training.
- 33. DOs who completed AOA accredited training cannot be board certified by the ABTS because it only board certifies ACGME accredited training.
 - 34. The ACGME does not offer accreditation to DOs in CCS.
- 35. Because ABTS board eligibility or certification is required by the TSDA match program and the ABTS does not board certify DOs with AOA accredited training, DOs with AOA accredited training are unable to become congenital cardiothoracic surgeons.
 - 36. Plaintiff has the following educational degrees: B.S. in Biology, Masters in

22

23

24

25

26

Public Health, Masters of Business Administration, and Doctor of Osteopathic Medicine.

- 37. Plaintiff honorably served in the Army and Air Force as a Medical Specialist and Medical Officer practicing medicine and teaching courses. Plaintiff is entitled to veteran's preference, when applicable, for his military service.
- 38. Plaintiff obtained his medical license in Pennsylvania in 2006, which remains current. He is also licensed in two other states and has applied for a Washington license through the Interstate Medical Licensure Compact.
- 39. Plaintiff is board certified by the American Osteopathic Board of Surgery ("AOBS") in general surgery, critical care, and cardiothoracic surgery. He is a Fellow of the American College of Osteopathic Surgeons and a member of the AOA, the American College of Surgeons, and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons.
- 40. The AOBS board certification in cardiothoracic surgery is the DO equivalent to the ABTS board certification for MDs.
- 41. The TSDA match program does not recognize the AOBS board certification in cardiothoracic surgery as equivalent to ABTS board certification in cardiothoracic surgery. Therefore, applicants who are equivalently trained and board certified by the AOBS are not eligible for the TSDA match program.
- 42. Plaintiff has completed residency/fellowships in general surgery and surgical critical care/trauma, which are AOA accredited, and in cardiothoracic surgery, which is ACGME accredited.
- 43. Plaintiff was a CCS fellow in an unaccredited training program at the University of Texas ("UT") Southwestern Children's Medical Center, a top-rated hospital in the United States ranked higher than UW, from July 2016 to August 2017. He did not complete the fellowship due to no fault of his own; the program terminated upon the departure of the two training physicians. UT has agreed to pay for a replacement fellowship due to the program's sudden unexpected termination.
- 44. Plaintiff applied for the UW fellowship through the TSDA match program in 2017. He had also applied in 2015 and 2016. He was not matched with a position each time he applied. The focus of this lawsuit is specifically his 2017 application.
 - 45. UW rejected Plaintiff's 2017 application because he did not meet the

TSDA eligibility requirements because he was not ABTS board eligible or certified
i.e. because he was a DO who completed an AOA accredited general surgery
residency and not an MD who completed ACGME accredited training).

- 46. Plaintiff is board certified in the osteopathic equivalent to the ABTS board
- 47. In 2017, Plaintiff attempted to obtain a waiver from the ABTS for board eligibility/certification since he holds the equivalent AOBS board certification.
- 48. Plaintiff also filed an appeal with the TSDA in 2017. The TSDA has not
- 49. Upon rejection, Plaintiff inquired with UW as to the reason for his rejection. The UW responded with only one reason: Plaintiff is not eligible because he is not
- 50. After his rejection but before the match took place, Plaintiff, through his attorney, filed a complaint with UW and sought reconsideration of his application. UW, through the Attorney General's Office, denied his request for reconsideration.
- 51. Plaintiff is eligible and qualified for the fellowship other than the fact that
- 52. UW rejected Plaintiff for the fellowship even though he was equivalently board certified, entitled to veteran's preference, and his GME training would have

First Cause of Action: Discrimination RCW 70.41.235 (Against UW)

- 53. Plaintiff realleges the facts as set forth in paragraphs 1-52 of his Complaint
 - 54. In 2017, Plaintiff applied for the fellowship.
- 55. Plaintiff was automatically rejected because he was not board eligible or certified in cardiothoracic surgery by the ABTS.
- 56. UW only considers applicants with ABTS board eligibility or certification in
 - 57. UW rejects applicants with AOBS board eligibility or certification in

1	AOA accredited training.
2	92. RCW 70.41.235 precludes public hospitals from discriminating against DOs
3	based on board certification.
	93. RCW 70.41.235 applies to hospital privileges, hiring, and training.
4	94. The purpose of RCW 70.41.235 is to prevent discrimination of DOs and/or
5	the preference of MDs over DOs in the training and practice of medicine.
6	95. UW has violated RCW 70.42.235 by discriminating against DOs based on
7	board certification.
8	96. UW has violated RCW 70.42.235 by discriminating against Plaintiff based on
	board certification.
9	97. UW has violated RCW 70.41.235 by preferring MDs over DOs in the training
10	and practice of medicine.
11	98. UW's use of the TSDA match program and contract with the TSDA violates
12	RCW 70.41.235 and is against public policy.
13	99. UW's rejection of Plaintiff's application for the fellowship violates RCW
	70.41.235 and is discriminatory.
14	100. As a result of UW's violation of RCW 70.41.235, Plaintiff has been damaged
15	in an amount to be proven at trial. Each of the UW Defendants is jointly and
16	severally liable for Plaintiff's damages as a result of UW's discrimination.
17	Second Cause of Action: Declaratory Judgment 29 U.S.C. §§2201-2202; FRCP 57
18	(Against All Defendants) 101. Plaintiff realleges the facts as set forth in paragraphs 1-100 of his
	Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
19	102. The Declaratory Judgment Act and FRCP 57 provide a remedy for ongoing
20	statutory violations.
21	103. UW has discriminated against Plaintiff and other DOs in violation of RCW
22	70.41.235 since its enactment in 1995 by only training and hiring MDs for GME
23	programs using the TSDA match program, which requires ABTS board certification,
24	which requires ACGME accredited training.
	104. Likewise, UW has violated RCW 70.41.235 by contracting with the TSDA
25	and agreeing to train and hire only ABTS board eligible or certified physicians,
26	which means MDs, and AOA accredited DOs cannot be hired/trained.

25

26

105. Declaratory judgment that it is unlawful for UW to reject DOs, including Plaintiff, for the fellowship, or any position requiring ABTS board eligibility or certification, because they do not have ABTS board eligibility or certification, is necessary to end UW's discriminatory practices, which are specifically prohibited by RCW 70.41.235.

106. Therefore, the Court should also declare that UW discriminated against Plaintiff by rejecting his application because he was not ABTS board eligible or certified.

107. Additionally, any position offered at UW requiring ABTS board eligibility or certification without recognizing its DO equivalent should be declared discriminatory.

108. Any requirement of ABTS board eligibility or certification at UW that does not recognize the DO equivalent board certification should be declared void and against public policy.

109. Additionally, to further the purpose of RCW 70.41.235, the Court should declare that the AOBS and ABTS board certifications are equivalents and that any DO board certified specialty is the equivalent of the MD board certified specialty and the Court should require UW to recognize these equivalents in all training and hiring.

Third Cause of Action: Injunctive Relief FRCP 65 (Against All Defendants)

- 110. Plaintiff realleges the facts as set forth in paragraphs 1-109 of his Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 111. The discrimination described herein is ongoing and is a contractual requirement between UW and ACGME and UW and TSDA.
 - 112. UW's GME training is accredited by the ACGME.
- 113. The majority of UW's accredited GME residency and fellowship training positions use a match process as required by the ACGME.
- 114. The majority of UW's accredited GME residency and fellowship training positions are matched in March of each year, including in 2018.
- 115. The TSDA match for the fellowship will be utilized by UW again in 2018, with the application process beginning in July.

116. UW will l	begin pla	cing phys	icians for	ACGME	accredited	GME trai	ining in
he immediate fu	iture.						

- 117. UW will continue discriminating against DOs in its match process if an injunction (preliminary and permanent) is not issued in this matter.
- 118. The discrimination will cause irreparable harm to Plaintiff and other DOs again by not allowing them training and a future job opportunity in the medical specialty of their choosing.
- 119. The discrimination will also cause further irreparable harm to the general public by limiting access to DO physicians in certain medical fields.
- 120. The public is being deprived of a choice of physicians and of quality physicians due to UW's discrimination.
- 121. Therefore, injunctive relief prohibiting further discrimination of DOs by UW is necessary to protect Plaintiff and others.
- 122. An adequate remedy at law does not exist in order to address the discrimination against Plaintiff and the numerous other DOs discriminated against since 1995 and therefore, injunctive relief precluding the discrimination is necessary and it is in the public interest to stop the discrimination.
- 123. An adequate remedy at law does not exist to remedy Plaintiff's damages regarding the loss of education, training, and future job opportunities he would have obtained had he been selected for the fellowship and not discriminated against.
- 124. Injunctive relief requiring that the Defendants cease discriminatory practices that violate RCW 70.41.235 is necessary to stop the harmful impact of DO discrimination on Plaintiff, other DOs, and society in general.
- 125. Injunctive relief requiring UW to withdraw from the TSDA match program until board certification of DOs and MDs are considered equivalent by the TSDA and ACGME is necessary to prevent further violations of RCW 70.41.235.
- 126. Injunctive relief requiring UW to recognize DO board certification equivalents to those of MDs in all training and hiring is necessary to prevent further violations of RCW 70.41.235.

1	Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Causes of Action:
2	Anti-Trust (Federal and State) Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. §1; Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. §12; RCW 19.86 et seq.
3	(Against All Defendants)
4	127. Plaintiff realleges the facts and allegations as set forth in 1-126 of his
5	Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
6	128. Applicants for ABTS board certification must have completed ACGME
	accredited training in general surgery, thoracic surgery fellowship and congenital
7	cardiac surgery fellowship.
8	129. These criteria prevent DOs who completed AOA accredited training from
9	participating in the TSDA match or applying for ABTS board certification.
10	130. Furthermore, applicants who completed training outside the United States
11	are also prevented from participating in the TSDA match or applying for ABTS
	board certification.
12	131. The Defendants are controlling and limiting access to who may become
13	ABTS board certified in CCS and therefore, controlling, restraining trade, and
14	stifling competition on who may work as a congenital cardiac surgeon.
15	132. Because Plaintiff completed an AOA accredited general surgery residency,
	he is not eligible for ABTS board certification.
16	133. Because Plaintiff did not complete an ACGME accredited general surgery
17	residency, he is not eligible for ABTS board certification.
18	134. Because Plaintiff is not eligible for ABTS board certification, he is not
19	eligible for the TSDA match program.
20	135. Because Plaintiff is not eligible for the TSDA match program, he is not
	eligible for an accredited CCS fellowship.
21	136. Because Plaintiff is not eligible for an accredited CCS fellowship, he is
22	unable to work and earn a living as a congenital cardiothoracic surgeon. 137. DOs, who completed AOA accredited training cannot work and earn a
23	living as congenital cardiothoracic surgeons.
24	138. DOs, who completed AOA accredited training cannot work and earn a
25	living as congenital cardiothoracic surgeons because of the market control and
	restraint of trade exercised by Defendants.
26	The state of the country of the state of the

- 139. Such a restraint of trade affects interstate commerce because DOs are excluded from certain medical practice specialties and the general public does not have access to them.
 - 140. The Defendants conspired to exclude DOs from training in and becoming congenital cardiothoracic surgeons.
- 141. Every contract or conspiracy that unreasonably restrains trade is an antitrust violation under federal and state law.
- 142. By contracting with the ACGME and TSDA and participating in the TSDA match program, which restrains trade, UW is violating state and federal anti-trust laws, including 15 U.S.C. §1, 15 U.S.C. §12, and RCW 19.86 *et seq.*
- 143. By creating eligibility requirements that applicants must be ABTS board eligible, the ACGME, ABTS, and TSDA prevent DO applicants, who completed AOA accredited training and foreign trained applicants from participating in CCS training and board certification thereby stifling competition in violation of state and federal anti-trust laws, including 15 U.S.C. §1, 15 U.S.C §12, and RCW 19.86 *et seq.*
- 144. By conspiring to exclude DOs from medical specialties, Defendants are violating anti-trust laws.
- 145. Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendants' anti-trust violations in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 146. Plaintiff is also entitled to treble damages and attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C §12, and RCW 19.86 *et seq.*
- 147. The Defendants are jointly and severally liable for their anti-trust violations and damages caused to Plaintiff as a result.

Seventh Cause of Action: Civil Conspiracy/Collusion (Against All Defendants)

- 148. Plaintiff realleges the facts and allegations as set forth in paragraphs 1-147 of his Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 149. Defendants have an agreement to deprive DOs from employment as cardiac surgeons, which is discriminatory under Washington law.
- 150. Defendants have been made aware of Washington law protecting DOs from discrimination in favor of MDs, yet Defendants continued to conspire to discriminate against DOs.

26

- 151. Defendants have engaged in collusion and civil conspiracy.
- 152. As a result of their conspiracy, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 153. The Defendants are jointly and severally liable for their conspiracy and damages caused to Plaintiff as a result.

Eighth Cause of Action: Public Records Act RCW 42.56 et seq. (Against UW)

- 154. Plaintiff realleges the facts set forth in paragraphs 1-153 of his Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 155. Plaintiff made a public records request to UW on November 16, 2017, pursuant to RCW 42.56 *et seq.* seeking further information and documents evidencing the UW's violations of RCW 70.41.235 and all of the Defendants' roles relating to the same.
- 156. Plaintiff also sought information that may quantify the number of DOs rejected from GME training at UW based on their board eligibility and/or certification.
- 157. Plaintiff's request included the following: (1) all agreements between UWMC and the Thoracic Surgery Director's Association and/or American Board of Thoracic Surgery; (2) all Medicare funding information, grants, agreements, etc. regarding UW Medical Center's Medicare grants for residencies and fellowships; (3) all documents regarding any osteopathic physician application (individual or in general) to any residency or fellowship at UW Medical Center for the past 10 years, including but not limited to applications, correspondence, inter office emails or memos, etc.; (4) all documents regarding Dr. Jeremy Conklin's applications to the UW Medical center for residency/fellowship; (5) all documents regarding RCW 70.41.235 from 1995 to present; (6) all documents regarding osteopathic physicians as residents/fellows at UW Medical Center, including any lists of all residents and fellows and their professional titles (DO V. MD), selected over the past 10 years. Documents were defined to include any data, including but not limited to emails and text messages, memos, letters, agreements, applications, photographs, social media posts, meeting minutes, spreadsheets, presentations, notes, pamphlets, etc., whether in electronic or handwritten form.

1	158. UW responded five business days later on November 27, 2017, and
2	estimated that it would produce documents by December 18, 2017.
3	159. On December 18th, however, UW advised Plaintiff that it would need an
	additional four months, until April 27, 2018, to produce the documents requested.
4	160. Either the original estimate was not reasonable or the subsequent estimate
5	was a litigation tactic. In conformance with RCW 42.56., Plaintiff immediately
6	objected that the length of time was unreasonable. UW did not respond nor has it
7	produced any of the documents.
8	161. The documents requested are voluminous and estimated to be in the
	thousands, if not tens of thousands.
9	162. UW has violated RCW 42.56 <i>et seq</i> . and is liable for \$100 per document per
10	day for the documents not timely (or at all as of the date of filing this Complaint)
11	provided to Plaintiff, plus attorney's fees and costs.
12	Ninth Cause of Action:
	Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress (Against All Defendants)
13	163. Plaintiff realleges the facts as set forth in paragraphs 1-162 of his
14	Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
15	164. Defendants engaged in extreme and outrageous conduct by discriminating
16	against Plaintiff and all DOs, conspiring, and restraining trade in CSS.
17	165. Defendants' conduct caused Plaintiff extreme emotional distress and he
	has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial. Defendants are jointly and
18	severally liable for the damages Plaintiff has suffered as a result of their Intentional
19	infliction of emotional distress.
20	Tenth Cause of Action: Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress
21	(Against All Defendants)
	166. Plaintiff realleges the facts as set forth in paragraphs 1-165 of his
22	Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
23	167. Defendants engaged in conduct they knew or should have known would
24	cause Plaintiff distress when they wrongfully discriminated against him, restrained
25	trade, and conspired to exclude DOs from GME training and the CCS specialty.
	168. Defendants' actions caused Plaintiff extreme emotional distress and he has
26	

1	been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial. Defendants are jointly and
2	severally liable for the damages Plaintiff has suffered as a result of their negligent
3	infliction of emotional distress.
	<u>JURY TRIAL DEMAND</u>
4	169. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 38(b), Plaintiff demands a jury trial.
5	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
6	Having plead his claims under state and federal law, Plaintiff prays for the
7	following relief:
	170. An award of damages for discrimination against each of the UW
8	Defendants, jointly and severally, and for Plaintiff in an amount to be proven at
9	trial;
0	171. An award of treble damages and attorney's fees and costs for state and
1	federal antitrust violations against Defendants, jointly and severally, and for
2	Plaintiff in an amount to be proven at trial;
	172. An award of damages for collusion/civil conspiracy against Defendants,
3	jointly and severally, and for Plaintiff in an amount to be proven at trial;
4	173. An award of damages for intentional and/or negligent infliction of
5	emotional distress against Defendants, jointly and severally, and for Plaintiff in an
6	amount to be proven at trial;
	174. An award of damages against each of the UW Defendants, jointly and
17	severally, at a rate of \$100 per document per day plus attorney's fees and costs
8	against UW and for Plaintiff for failure to produce documents requested under the
9	Public Records Act;
20	175. An injunction prohibiting UW from any further discrimination against
	DOs;
21	176. An injunction prohibiting UW from using the TSDA match program and
22	restraining UW from matching any residents or fellows to any ACGME accredited
23	GME training position without considering DOs and recognizing their equivalent
24	board certifications;
25	177. Declaratory Judgment that UW has been discriminating against DO GME
	applicants since 1995, and specifically against Plaintiff, by applying the ACGME
26	and TSDA criteria, which excludes DOs in violation of RCW 70.41.235;

Case 2:18-cv-00090 Document 1 Filed 01/22/18 Page 18 of 20

1	178. Declaratory Judgment that it is unlawful and discriminatory for UW to
2	reject DOs based on the lack of ABTS board certification or ACGME accreditation
3	and that UW shall recognize DO and MD board certification equivalents, including
	certification by the ABTS and AOBS;
4	179. Declaratory Judgment that the contract between UW and ACGME and UW
5	and TSDA is void as discriminatory and against the public policy of Washington
6	state;
7	180. An award of attorney's fees and costs; and
8	181. Such other relief as available under the statutes and/or the Court deems
9	just and equitable.
	DATED THE 22nd DAY OF JANUARY 2018.
10	
11	
12	HKM Employment Attorneys, LLP
13	e /Vrieti Feverd
14	<u>s/Kristi Favard</u> Kristi Favard, WSBA No. 34419
15	kfavard@hkm.com
16	HKM Employment Attorneys, LLP
17	600 Stewart Street, Suite 901 Seattle, WA 98101
	Phone: (206) 838-2504 Facsimile: (206) 260-3055
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	<u>DECLARATION OF SERVICE</u>
2	
3	I certify under penalty of perjury that I have contacted ABC Legal Messengers for
4	Service/Delivery of the Complaint, Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Declaration of Dr.
	Jeremy Conklin, and Proposed Order to Defendants at the following addresses:
5	University of Washington School of Medicine University of Washington Medical Center
6	University of Washington Medicine Dr. Paul Ramsey, M.D.
7	Dr. Lester Permut, M.D.
8	Attorney General Bob Ferguson c/o Colin Caywood, Asst. Attorney General
9	800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104
	UW Med/Northwest
10	c/o Margaret Peyton
11	701 5th Avenue, Suite 700 Seattle, WA 98104-7028
12	Dr. Paul Ramsey, M.D.
13	Dr. Lester Permut, M.D.
14	University of Washington Medicine University of Washington School of Medicine
	University of Washington Medical Center c/o Dr. Ramsey
15	CEO of UW Medicine and Dean of UW School of Medicine 1959 NE Pacific Avenue
16	Seattle, WA 98105
17	Dr. Lester Permut
18	Seattle Children's Hospital Pediatric Cardiology
19	4800 Sand Point Way NE
	Seattle, WA 98105
20	Seattle Children's Hospital Dr. Lester Permut, M.D.
21	c/o Senior Vice-President and General Counsel
22	4800 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, WA 98105
23	Children's University Medical Group
24	c/o Robert Sawin, M.D., Chairman of the Board 4500 Sand Point Way NE
25	Seattle, WA 98105
26	
∠U	

Case 2:18-cv-00090 Document 1 Filed 01/22/18 Page 20 of 20

1	Mary Bridge Children's Foundation c/o Mark Gary
2	222 North J Street #B Tacoma, WA 98403
3	Accreditation Council of Graduate Medical Education
4	c/o Corporate Services Company 300 Deschutes Way South, Suite 304
5	Tumwater, WA 98501
6	Thoracic Surgery Directors Association, Inc. c/o Mark Iannettoni, Registered Agent 115 Heart Drive
7	Greenville, NC 27834
8	American Board of Thoracic Surgery, Inc. Patricia Watson, Registered Agent
9 10	633 N Saint Clair Street, 23rd Floor Chicago, IL 60611
10	
12	Dated this 22nd Day of January, 2018 in Everett, WA.
13	
14	
15	<u>/s/ Kristi Favard</u> Kristi Favard, WSBA No. 34419
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

(206) 838-2504